

Dear Sian and Martin,

Thank you both for taking time out to visit and walk Footpath number 6 with me.

I was somewhat surprised Sian, when you mentioned to me, that my objection (15<sup>th</sup> March 2021) would go public unless I withdrew it: the landowner and other members of the public would see my comments. I thought, once I wrote my objection it would go into the public domain.

As stated previously -

I will **subtract** my concerns on the removal of footpath 71.

I **object** to sections E-I-F this breaks the link of footpath 6 as a continuous path. E-F has been used for as long as one can remember and is still being used.

BOAT 20 (By Way Open to all Traffic), now leads to a dead end.

I repeat what I wrote on 7<sup>th</sup> July 2016:

**As nothing has been done to remedy the situation and it is now 2021**, five years on—

*“I find it strange that on existing footpaths, gates have been left in disrepair. In stark comparison to this it was noticed that **three new gates** have been put on an unregistered Bridleway 75?*

*There is a **new kissing gate** at point E /R on an unregistered footpath?  
And a **new gate** on the proposed new footbridge?”*

Having spoken with Sian Barnes, I am now aware that no new money is available to improve any existing footpaths that are **not** being diverted.

I respectfully request that letters be sent to the existing landowners asking them to repair the broken styles on the footpaths on their land.

I also request signs for gates and styles to be displayed for people to know where they may walk, especially on the main road at the entrance to Bridleway 75.

Powys County Councillor Sandra C Davies.  
Cwmtwrch Ward.  
5<sup>th</sup> May 2021